

Computer Problem 3
2D Advection, Deformational Flow

Due: 2:00 PM Friday March 1

Turn in: **your code and plotted results** (all submitted via Moodle)

Problem being solved: 2-D linear advection with fractional step (directional) splitting.

Boundary condition: 0-gradient (as in program #2)

Numerical methods: Lax-Wendroff, 6th-order Crowley, and Takacs.

1. Crowley 2 nd -order (same as Lax-Wendroff)	$s_j^{n+1} = s_j^n - \frac{v}{2}(s_{j+1}^n - s_{j-1}^n) + \frac{v^2}{2}(s_{j+1}^n - 2s_j^n + s_{j-1}^n)$
2. Crowley 6th-order	See Tremback p. 542, ORD=6 (advective form)
3. Takacs (1985) (as in program 2)	$v \geq 0: \begin{cases} s_j^{n+1} = s_j^n - \frac{v}{2}(s_{j+1}^n - s_{j-1}^n) + \frac{v^2}{2}(s_{j+1}^n - 2s_j^n + s_{j-1}^n) \\ - \left(\frac{1+v}{6}\right)v(v-1)(s_{j+1}^n - 3s_j^n + 3s_{j-1}^n - s_{j-2}^n) \end{cases}$ $v < 0: \begin{cases} s_j^{n+1} = s_j^n - \frac{v}{2}(s_{j+1}^n - s_{j-1}^n) + \frac{v^2}{2}(s_{j+1}^n - 2s_j^n + s_{j-1}^n) \\ - \left(\frac{1+ v }{6}\right)v(v+1)(s_{j-1}^n - 3s_j^n + 3s_{j+1}^n - s_{j+2}^n) \end{cases}$

Domain: The domain size/layout are the same as program #2. However, u , v differ from the last problem, as does the initial position/size of the cone. Be careful: $u(i,j)$ ($\frac{1}{2}$ grid length to the left of s) and $v(i,j)$ ($\frac{1}{2}$ grid length below s) are now functions of x and y .

If you see asymmetry (discussed below) in your solutions, the #1 most likely cause is a problem in the initial conditions – probably the X and Y coordinates used in creating the initial conditions. All you need is for the cone or the U or V velocity components to be incorrectly located by $dx/2$ or $dy/2$ to result in erroneous behavior. Symmetry tests are great at identifying problems in the initial or boundary condition or advection scheme.

Advection method: Lax-Wendroff, 6th-order Crowley, Takacs are directionally split, and are unaware of C-grid staggering so you must average velocity to the scalar point in your 1-D advection. Takacs needs 2 ghost points, and 6th-order Crowley requires 3.

Settings: n_x , n_y , cone center, cone radius, time step, # of steps – see program 3 page.

Read In: the numerical method to use • number of steps to run • how often to plot

Initial conditions: Define s as before, though the cone radius and center are changed.

Wind field – deformation	$u(x, y) = \sin(4\pi x) \times \sin(4\pi y)$ $v(x, y) = \cos(4\pi x) \times \cos(4\pi y)$
-----------------------------	---

Code layout requirements:

1. you **must** use and call *separate advection (2-D)* and *advect1d (1-D)* routines.
 - Do the 1-D advection step fully in a *separate advect1d* routine, where your 1-D methods reside.
 - Do **not** combine 2D, 1D steps or embed integration code in the main program *or* in your 2-D advection routine.
2. do not “hard-code” your program for any scheme! So your code must be set up for the maximum number of ghost points (3) you need, and to run any scheme.
3. pass the *staggered* [not averaged] u or v data to *advect1d*.
 - the unstaggering of the velocities is done inside *advect1d* when you e.g. compute the Courant number (in Fortran: $dt/dx*0.5*(u1d(i)+u1d(i+1))$)
4. do not hard-code the program’s (maximum) grid dimensions except at the start of the main program, in (Fortran) a module routine, or in an include file.
5. **do not** (in C) use point 0 as always a single ghost point, 1 as the first physical point, etc; you *must* use the I1,I2,J1,J2 (etc.) notation for handling ghost points.
6. code generally! *See class content page for full code rules.*

Submit online:

- Contour plots of the initial u, v, and s field. And, for each method, create *contr* **and** *sfc* plots of the solutions at 125, 250, 750 steps.
- Smin(t) & Smax(t) plots are *not* necessary, but **do** use my *contr* and *sfc* routines.